IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

APPLICANT(s): KOSKI ET AL. CONF. NO. 2231

SERIAL NO.: 09/019,614 ART UNIT: 2615

FILING DATE: FEBRUARY 6, 1998 EXAMINER: Mei Xu

TITLE: METHOD FOR SETTING AUDIO PARAMETERS IN A DIGITAL

SIGNAL PROCESSOR IN AN ELECTRONIC DEVICE, AND

ELECTRONIC DEVICE

ATTORNEY

DOCKET NO.: 460-007777-US (PAR)

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPELANTS' REPLY BRIEF

(37 C.F.R. §41.41)

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed 15 April 2008.

The Examiner has argued in section 2, pages 2 and 3, and section 10, pages 8 and 9, of the Examiner's Answer that since the audio parameters in Piosenka are being generated in the auxiliary device (PC), they therefore <u>relate</u> to the <u>audio properties</u> of the auxiliary device, as recited in the independent claims. It is submitted that this is incorrect since it is entirely possible that a first device can generate parameters that relate to the audio properties of a second device and in no way relate to, or are used by, the first device. In

Piosenka, there is no disclosure that the PC generated audio parameters relate to the audio properties of itself since mere generation is not relation.

Claim 1 also recites that at least some of the audio parameters from the auxiliary device are loaded into the mobile device and using the parameters <u>during operation</u> of the mobile device when the auxiliary device <u>is connected</u>. The remaining independent claims have similar limitations.

The Examiner has argued in section 3, page 2, and section 10, page 8, that this is disclosed by Col. 6, lines 43-47, of Piosenka. However, while loading of audio parameters is disclosed therein, nothing is there disclosed about using the parameters <u>during</u> operation of the mobile device when the auxiliary device is connected.

Wong also fails to disclose the above-discussed claim limitations. There is no disclosure of an auxiliary device having audio parameters relating to the audio properties of the auxiliary device. Further, there is no disclosure of loading at least some of the audio parameters from the auxiliary device into the mobile device and using the parameters during operation of the mobile device when the auxiliary device is connected. Thus, combining Piosenka with Wong does not result in the claimed invention.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the claims, as presented, are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Board of Appeals is respectfully requested to favorably consider the rejected claims and to reverse the final rejections, thereby enabling this application to issue as a U.S. Letters Patent.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully Submitted,

Geza C. Ziegler, Jr

Reg. No. 44,004

Perman & Green, LLP

425 Post Road

Fairfield, CT 06824

Telephone: (203) 259-1800 Facsimile: (203) 255-5170

Customer No. 2512